Application No. Applicant(s) MILES ET AL. 10/759,811 **Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit** YOGESH PATEL 3732 **All Participants:** Status of Application: (3) RORY SCHERMERHORN. (1) YOGESH PATEL. (4) _____. (2) RALPH LEWIS. Date of Interview: 12 January 2010 Time: ____ Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes ΠNo If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1, 16 Prior art documents discussed: Maver et al. Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner initiated interveiw to further define the retractor blades and the supplemental retractor assembly. See attached claims. Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /YOGESH PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732 /RLewis/ Primary Examiner, AU 3732 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-413B) PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.
Paper No. 20100113A